

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,541	01/02/2002	Lawrence A. Clevenger	YOR9-2001-0508-USI 9395		
28211	7590 11/29/2004		EXAMINER		
FREDERICK W. GIBB, III MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC			LUK, LAWRENCE W		
2568-A RIV	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 304			2838		
ANNAPOLIS	s, MD 21401		DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N	о.	Applicant(s)				
	10/039,541	CLEVENGER ET AL.		AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Lawrence W L		2838				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, he ply within the statutory of d will apply and will expi te, cause the applicatio	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from to n to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M	<u>May 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	is action is non-f	inal.					
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) 7-10 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-6,11-20 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consid						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ier.						
D) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	• • • •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been re nts have been re ority documents au (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been receive (.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [	Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	5) [ 6) [	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2838

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-6 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim limitation and figures are not in compliance with one another. The limitation "wherein said at least one integrated circuit chip is disposed between said at least one battery and said package" does not comply with figure 2(b) because the integrated circuit chip lays on top of a portion of the package as described in the newly added claim limitation "wherein said at least one integrated circuit chip lays on top of a portion of said package." Please cancel the aforementioned limitation to place the claims in proper form.

# Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 7-10 are allowed.

Claim 7 is allowable. The reason for allowance is that the prior art of record fails to disclose or reasonably suggest that

As to claim 7, a multi-chip module integration system comprises: a multi-chip module having a pair pf opposed upright ends; at least one battery connected to said multi-chip module; and at least one integrated circuit chip connected to said battery,

Art Unit: 2838

wherein said integrated circuit chip is powered by said battery, and wherein said at least one battery overhangs, and is larger than, said at least one integrated circuit chip, wherein said at least one integrated circuit chip lays on top of a portion of said multi-chip module. It is these features found in the claim, as they are claimed in the combination, which has not been found taught or suggested by the prior art of record, which makes this claim allowable over the prior art.

Claims 8 and 9 are allowed due to their dependency on claim 7.

As to claim 10, a multi-chip module integration system wherein said multi-chip module integration system comprises; a multi-chip module; at least one battery connected to said multi-chip module; and at least one integrated circuit chip connected to said battery, wherein said integrated circuit chip is powered by said battery, wherein said at least one battery connects to a pair of opposed upright ends of said multi-chip module, and wherein said at least one battery overhangs, and is larger than, said at least one integrated circuit chip, and wherein said at least one integrated circuit chip lays on top of a portion of said multi-chip module. It is these features found in the claim, as they are claimed in the combination, which has not been found taught or suggested by the prior art of record, which makes this claim allowable over the prior art.

### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence W Luk whose telephone number is (571)272-2080. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 5 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/039,541

Art Unit: 2838

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on (571) 272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LWL November 23, 2004

faurence hele examiner

11/23/04